

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,288	08/30/2001	Michael Foley	05125.0001U1	1888	
23859 · NEEDLE & RO	7590 01/25/2008 OSENBERG P.C	EXAMINER			
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. SUITE 1000			TIV, BACKHEAN		
999 PEACHTR ATLANTA, G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR	
,			2151	-	
è			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		01/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					$m \sim$			
·		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/94	4,288	FOLEY ET AL.				
		Exami	iner	Art Unit				
			ean Tiv	2151				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Notes in the provision of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMU to event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Me application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  NONTHS from the mailing date of this ce  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>12/5/06</i> .		•				
•	•	2b) This action	is non-final.					
3)								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2,5,6,11-16 and 22-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3,4,7-10 and 17-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 2,5,6,11-16 and 22-27 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)[_	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje	_	• •		SED 4 404(-1)			
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have documents have of the priority document of the priority document (PCT	been received. been received in uments have be Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	l Stage			
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Paper I 5)  Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:	<del>·</del>				

09/944,288 Art Unit: 2151

### **Detailed Action**

Claims 2,5,6,11-16,22-27 are pending in this application. Claims 1,3,4,7-10,17-21 have been cancelled in the Amendment filed on 12/5/06. This action is made **FINAL**.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,5,12-15,22,24,26,27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,834,299 issued to Hamilton, II et al.(Hamilton) in view of US Publication 2001/0047460 issued to Kobayashi et al.(Kobayashi) in further view of admitted prior art.

As per claim 12, Hamilton teaches a system for automatically configuring a diskless host computer(Abstract), comprising:

at least one diskless host computer that automatically boots an operating system as a result of being connected to the network(Fig.5, col.5, lines 32-67);

a storage system on which are stored a plurality of host configurations, each configuration including an operating system(Fig.5, col.6,lines 1-67); looking up a configuration corresponding to the received identifier and directing the switch to provide the diskless host computer access to a storage device on which the operating system is stored(Abstract, col.7, lines 1-67).

09/944,288 Art Unit: 2151

Hamilton however does not explicitly teach diskless host computer being physically connected to a network; a switch coupled to each diskless host computer and having a plurality of ports, each port coupled to the storage system; and a control station computer monitoring for receipt of an identifier transmitted by the diskless host computer to the switch.

Kobayashi teaches a switch coupled to each diskless host computer and having a plurality of ports, each port coupled to the storage system(Fig.1); and a control station computer monitoring for receipt of an identifier transmitted by the diskless host computer to the switch(Fig.1, para.0024,026).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hamilton to include a switch coupled to each diskless host computer and having a plurality of ports, each port coupled to the storage system; and a control station computer monitoring for receipt of an identifier transmitted by the diskless host computer to the switch as taught by Kobayashi in order to remote copy information(Kobayashi, para.0002).

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hamilton and Kobayashi in order to remote copy information(Kobayashi, para.0002).

Admitted prior art explicitly teaches adding new devices to a SAN(Applicant specification, page 1, line 10-page 3, line 9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hamilton in view of Kobayashi to include

09/944,288 Art Unit: 2151

adding new devices to a SAN as taught by applicant specification in order to replace and/or add new SAN devices to a network.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hamilton, Kobayash, and admitted prior art in order to replace and/or add new SAN devices to a network.

As per claim 22, Hamilton teaches a method for automatically booting a diskless host computer upon being connected to a Fibre Channel network(Abstract), comprising: looking up a configuration corresponding to the received identifier, each configuration including an operating system different from the operating system of other configurations of the plurality of configurations(Fig.5, col.5, lines 32-col.6, lines 67); querying by a control station computer the Fibre Channel switch for the WWN(col.5, lines 31-67); looking up by the control station computer the configuration in a database in response to the WWN, each WWN having a corresponding configuration(Fig.5, col.5, lines 31-col.6, lines 67); providing the diskless host computer access to a storage device on which the operating system is stored(Fig.5, col.5, lines 32-col.6, lines 67); and the diskless host computer booting from the operating system stored on the storage device in response to being connected to network(Fig.5, col.5, lines 32-col.6, lines 67).

Hamilton however does not explicitly teach physically connecting the diskless host computer to the network; receiving at a Fibre Channel switch a World Wide Name (WWN) from the diskless host computer in accordance with a Fibre Channel log-in protocol.

09/944,288 Art Unit: 2151

Kobayashi teaches receiving at a Fibre Channel switch a World Wide Name (WWN) from the diskless host computer in accordance with a Fibre Channel log-in protocol (Fig.1, para.0024,026).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hamilton to include receiving at a Fibre Channel switch a World Wide Name (WWN) from the diskless host computer in accordance with a Fibre Channel log-in protocol as taught by Kobayashi in order to remote copy information(Kobayashi, para 0002).

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hamilton and Kobayashi in order to remote copy information(Kobayashi, para.0002).

Admitted prior art explicitly teaches adding new devices to a SAN(Applicant specification, page 1, line 10-page 3, line 9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hamilton in view of Kobayashi to include adding new devices to a SAN as taught by applicant specification in order to replace and/or add new SAN devices to a network.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hamilton, Kobayash, and admitted prior art in order to replace and/or add new SAN devices to a network.

As per claim 2, the method claimed in claim 22, further comprising the step of prior to the step of providing the host access to a storage device, copying the operating

09/944,288 Art Unit: 2151

system to the storage device from another device of the storage system(Hamilton, Abstract, Fig.5).

As per claim 5, the method claimed in claim 22, wherein the control station queries the Fibre Channel switch in response to a notification received from the host via an Internet Protocol (IP) network(Hamilton, Abstract, Fig.5, Kobayashi, para.0024).

Motivation to combine set forth in claim 22.

As per claim13, the system claimed in claim 12, wherein the storage system copies the operating system to the storage device from another device of the storage system(Hamilton, Abstract, Fig.5).

As per claim 14, the system claimed in claim 12, wherein the identifier is a World Wide Name (WWN) received from the host in accordance with a Fibre Channel log-in protocol, and wherein each WWN corresponds to a configuration(Hamilton, Abstract, col.5, line 32-67, Fig.1, para.0024,026). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 15, system claimed in claim 14, wherein the a control station computer queries the Fibre, Channel switch for the WWN and looks up the configuration in a database in response to the WWN(Hamilton, Fig.5, col.5, lines 31-col.6, lines 67).

As per claim 24, the method claimed in claim 23, wherein the network comprises an IP network(Kobayashi, para.0024). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 22.

As per claim 26, the method claimed in claim 23, wherein the network comprises a Fibre Channel network(Kobayashi, Abstract). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 22.

09/944,288 Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 27, the method claimed in claim 26, wherein the identifier is unique to an adapter used to connect the diskless computer to the network(Hamilton, col.5, lines 31-col.6, line 67).

As per claim 23, do not teach or further define over the limitations in claims 12,22. Therefore claim 23 is rejected for the same reasons set forth above.

Claims 6,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,834,299 issued to Hamilton, II et al.(Hamilton) in view of US Publication 2001/0047460 issued to Kobayashi et al.(Kobayashi) in further view of admitted prior art in further view of US Patent 6,810,478 issued to Anand et al.(Anand).

Hamilton in view of Kobayashi in further view of admitted prior art does not explicitly teach as per claims 6, 25, using DHCP protocol.

Anand teaches using DHCP protocol(col.43-50).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Hamilton in view of Kobayashi in further view of admitted prior art to include using DHCP protocol as taught by Anand in order to improve flexibility for configuration of computers attached to a network(Anand, col.1, lines 43-49).

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hamilton, Kobayashi, admitted prior art, and Anand in order to improve flexibility for configuration of computers attached to a network(Anand, col.1, lines 43-49).

Claims 11,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,834,299 issued to Hamilton, II et al.(Hamilton) in view of US Publication 2001/0047460 issued to Kobayashi et al.(Kobayashi) in further view of admitted prior art in further view of US Patent 6,343,287 issued to Kumar et al.(Kumar).

Hamilton in view of Kobayashi in further view of admitted prior art however does not teach as per claim 11,16, wherein the database uses the lightweight directory access protocol (LDAP).

Kumar teaches the use of LDAP for a database(Fig.3).

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one ordinary skilled in the art to modify Kobayashi in view of Hamilton in view of Kobayashi in further view of admitted prior art to use LDAP for a database as taught by Kumar in order to comb through data to find a particular piece of information.

One ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Hamilton, Kobayashi, admitted prior art, and Kumar in order to provide a system to us a network protocol designed to work on TCP/IP stacks to extract information.

### Response to Arguments

The Office withdraws all previous rejections due to applicant's amendments.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2,5,6,11-16,22-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **Conclusion**

09/944,288 Art Unit: 2151

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

09/944,288

Art Unit: 2151

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Backhean Tiv whose telephone number is (571) 272-5654. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Backhean Tiv 2151 1/21/08

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100